Master's Exit Survey

## Class of 2013

Summary Report

Office of Accreditation and Assessment Teachers College Columbia University

## Master's Exit Survey, Class 2013

Academic Programs and Courses	Year			Agreen	nent (Per	centage)					Importa	ance (Per	centage	)		Gap Mean
		1 (St	rongly d	sagree)	6 (St	ronglyag	gree)	n	1 (N	lot impor	tant)	6 (Ve	ryimpor	tant)	n	(Agree
		1	2	3	4	5	6		1	2	3	4	5	6		Mean
1) My academic program was	2010	4%	6%	10%	22%	31%	28%	494	0%	0%	0%	4%	13%	83%	413	1.3
excellent.	2011	3%	8%	11%	18%	31%	28%	408	0%	0%	1%	3%	14%	82%	352	1.3
	2012	4%	6%	10%	24%	33%	23%	450	0%	0%	1%	1%	13%	85%	396	1.3
	2013	3%	5%	12%	24%	32%	25%	537	0%	1%	2%	4%	17%	77%	520	1.1
2) My program had a clear philosophy	2010	3%	6%	12%	19%	24%	35%	496	0%	1%	5%	13%	27%	54%	412	0.6
or focus.	2011	3%	6%	14%	19%	30%	29%	406	0%	1%	6%	14%	28%	52%	350	0.8
	2012	4%	8%	11%	19%	26%	33%	447	0%	1%	3%	13%	27%	55%	395	0.8
	2013	4%	5%	10%	18%	31%	33%	536	1%	1%	4%	11%	32%	52%	516	0.6
3) My program had clear	2010	1%	4%	8%	20%	27%	40%	493	1%	1%	6%	12%	24%	56%	409	0.4
requirements.	2011	2%	3%	6%	21%	29%	39%	407	0%	0%	3%	15%	26%	55%	351	0.4
	2012	3%	3%	8%	14%	30%	42%	446	1%	1%	6%	12%	25%	56%	391	0.4
	2013	1%	3%	7%	14%	30%	45%	536	1%	1%	4%	11%	28%	56%	518	0.3
4) My program provided a well-	2010	3%	8%	13%	28%	26%	22%	493	0%	0%	2%	13%	31%	54%	407	1.0
integrated set of courses.	2011	5%	6%	13%	27%	28%	21%	403	0%	0%	2%	12%	29%	57%	348	1.1
	2012	3%	4%	16%	24%	28%	25%	449	0%	1%	2%	10%	30%	57%	393	0.9
	2013	2%	8%	15%	25%	27%	23%	533	0%	1%	4%	10%	30%	56%	515	1.0
5) My program provided a good variety	2010	4%	8%	18%	25%	24%	21%	493	0%	0%	2%	10%	28%	59%	408	1.2
of courses.	2011	4%	7%	19%	28%	22%	19%	402	0%	1%	1%	13%	28%	57%	349	1.2
	2012	3%	6%	14%	25%	29%	23%	445	0%	1%	3%	7%	29%	59%	392	1.0
	2013	2%	8%	15%	27%	26%	22%	531	1%	1%	3%	9%	31%	56%	514	1.1
6) I was able to register for courses I	2010	4%	5%	10%	14%	25%	42%	481	0%	1%	2%	12%	26%	58%	401	0.6
needed with few conflicts.	2011	4%	5%	8%	16%	26%	41%	402	0%	1%	2%	11%	29%	58%	345	0.6
	2012	4%	6%	6%	15%	25%	45%	437	1%	1%	4%	11%	26%	58%	386	0.4
	2012	3%	5%	9%	11%	25%	47%	515	1%	1%	3%	8%	25%	62%	503	0.5
7) I had flexibility to choose courses	2010	7%	10%	15%	21%	25%	21%	475	1%	2%	3%	14%	27%	53%	394	1.1
based on my life or career goals.	2010	7%	8%	13%	21%	23%	19%	395	1%	2 % 0%	3 <i>%</i> 4%	14%	25%	53%	339	1.1
,	2011	7%	8% 9%	18%	23%	21%	25%	435	2%	2%	4% 3%	17% 9%	25% 29%	55% 56%	382	1.2
	2012	7%	9% 10%	13%	23%	23%	25%	435 507	3%	2%	3%	9% 12%	29%	56% 54%	493	1.1
8) My program provided a solid	2013															
theoretical foundation in my	2010	2%	5%	9%	18%	30%	37%	490	0%	1%	6%	15%	27%	50%	411	0.4
discipline.		4%	2%	10%	18%	29%	37%	404	0%	1%	6%	15%	22%	55%	349	0.4
	2012	2%	5%	7%	18%	33%	35%	444	0%	1%	6%	10%	30%	53%	393	0.5
0) 0	2013	2%	5%	8%	20%	30%	36%	535	0%	1%	5%	13%	26%	55%	518	0.5
9) Course content was relevant to my life or career goals	2010	2%	4%	10%	20%	29%	35%	491	0%	0%	2%	5%	25%	67%	406	0.8
	2011	3%	4%	12%	18%	31%	32%	404	0%	0%	2%	7%	18%	73%	350	1.0
	2012	3%	5%	12%	17%	31%	33%	443	0%	1%	2%	4%	22%	72%	393	0.9
	2013	2%	6%	10%	20%	31%	32%	533	0%	0%	1%	5%	26%	67%	515	0.9
10) Most courses were academically rigorous	2010	4%	5%	9%	19%	32%	31%	490	1%	1%	4%	16%	30%	48%	407	0.6
rigorous	2011	5%	7%	12%	20%	27%	30%	404	0%	1%	5%	14%	29%	51%	351	0.7
	2012	3%	6%	10%	18%	30%	33%	445	1%	0%	4%	14%	32%	49%	390	0.6
	2013	3%	7%	9%	20%	35%	27%	533	1%	1%	3%	16%	35%	45%	516	0.6
Instruction																
12) Quality of instruction in most	2010	2%	5%	11%	25%	28%	28%	492	0%	0%	0%	3%	16%	81%	405	1.2
classes was excellent.	2011	3%	6%	10%	23%	33%	26%	403	0%	0%	0%	6%	11%	82%	344	1.3
	2012	3%	3%	11%	22%	34%	28%	446	0%	0%	2%	3%	11%	83%	383	1.1
	2013	2%	4%	11%	25%	34%	25%	535	0%	0%	1%	4%	18%	76%	515	1.1
Q13) Instructors used effective	2010	1%	6%	14%	31%	28%	20%	489	0%	0%	0%	10%	25%	65%	404	1.1
teaching strategies.	2011	3%	6%	12%	25%	33%	21%	403	0%	0%	1%	6%	22%	70%	342	1.2
	2012	2%	4%	11%	25%	34%	24%	445	0%	0%	1%	3%	21%	75%	381	1.1
	2013	3%	5%	10%	26%	36%	21%	528	1%	1%	1%	5%	28%	65%	508	1.1
Q14) Instructors considered student	2010	2%	7%	16%	26%	25%	23%	484	1%	3%	8%	15%	29%	45%	396	0.7
difference as they taught a course.	2011	5%	6%	17%	24%	27%	20%	389	0%	2%	9%	17%	24%	47%	340	0.9
	2012	3%	7%	16%	25%	23%	26%	435	1%	0%	7%	19%	25%	48%	372	0.7
									1							

	2010		604	4.000	0.54					604	4.70	4000		0.70		
Q15) Instructors used information technology and media in the	2010	2%	6%	18%	25%	25%	25%	489	4%	6%	17%	19%	27%	27%	403	0.0
classroom.	2011	2%	7%	14%	25%	32%	20%	402	4%	6%	16%	27%	19%	28%	343	-0.1
	2012 2013	2%	5%	13%	26%	28%	25%	443	4%	3%	16%	25%	21%	31%	380	0.0
Q16) Instructors provided timely		1%	6%	11%	29%	31%	22%	526	4%	8%	11%	27%	25%	25%	508	-0.1
feedback about student progress.	2010	2%	7%	14%	23%	31%	23%	490	0%	1%	3%	16%	30%	49%	404	0.8
	2011	2%	6%	13%	27%	30%	21%	401	0%	1%	4%	15%	31%	50%	343	0.9
	2012	4%	4%	10%	30%	31%	22%	442	1%	1%	4%	15%	28%	52%	379	0.7
	2013	3%	6%	12%	25%	34%	21%	526	0%	1%	4%	15%	30%	49%	510	0.8
Q17) Instructors used appropriate	2010	1%	2%	11%	25%	33%	28%	483	0%	0%	3%	13%	32%	51%	401	0.6
methods to assess student performance.	2011	2%	2%	9%	22%	40%	25%	400	0%	1%	3%	16%	29%	52%	341	0.6
performance.	2012	4%	3%	8%	21%	38%	26%	440	1%	1%	2%	15%	30%	51%	379	0.7
	2013	1%	3%	9%	19%	43%	24%	527	0%	1%	5%	12%	37%	46%	509	0.5
Q18) I had adequate opportunities to	2010	7%	9%	13%	20%	26%	25%	480	2%	4%	6%	18%	23%	45%	396	0.7
develop research skills.	2011	6%	9%	17%	19%	27%	23%	395	4%	3%	8%	18%	24%	43%	337	0.6
	2012	6%	10%	12%	24%	26%	23%	434	2%	3%	10%	17%	27%	41%	375	0.7
	2012	6%	8%	12%	24%	20%	23%	523	2%	5%	6%	17%	27%	41%	505	0.7
Q19)I had adequate opportunities for reflection and critical thinking.	2010	2%	3%	6%	15%	26%	48%	487	0%	1%	4%	12%	24%	59%	401	0.3
	2011	1%	3%	7%	14%	27%	48%	400	0%	1%	3%	11%	28%	57%	343	0.3
	2012	2%	3%	6%	15%	29%	46%	439	0%	1%	2%	12%	28%	57%	380	0.3
	2013	1%	2%	6%	12%	30%	49%	528	0%	1%	3%	10%	29%	57%	510	0.2
Q20) I had adequate opportunities for	2010	2%	3%	5%	14%	30%	46%	486	2%	5%	12%	21%	26%	34%	403	-0.4
teamwork and collaboration.	2011	1%	1%	6%	14%	30%	47%	400	3%	5%	11%	21%	25%	35%	341	-0.4
	2012	1%	2%	5%	16%	30%	46%	440	4%	4%	9%	20%	24%	40%	381	-0.3
	2013	1%	2%	5%	12%	32%	50%	529	4%	5%	9%	19%	26%	38%	512	-0.5
Q21) I had adequate opportunities to	2010	10%	16%	18%	26%	17%	14%	480	4%	5%	15%	21%	22%	33%	397	0.8
learn new media and technology.	2011	9%	17%	21%	24%	19%	11%	398	3%	7%	14%	26%	22%	28%	338	0.8
	2012	12%	15%	19%	22%	20%	12%	427	6%	5%	11%	23%	25%	30%	372	0.9
	2012	8%	15%	22%	26%	16%	12%	518	6%	7%	13%	23%	25%	27%	502	0.9
Q22) I had adequate training or opportunities to develop skills to work	2010	7%	8%	14%	21%	22%	28%	468	3%	2%	7%	13%	26%	50%	384	0.8
with diverse children and/or adults.	2011	7%	8%	11%	24%	26%	24%	374	3%	4%	6%	12%	24%	51%	322	0.7
	2012	7%	8%	13%	18%	27%	28%	423	1%	4%	5%	10%	28%	51%	368	0.8
	2013	6%	7%	14%	21%	26%	26%	497	2%	3%	8%	13%	28%	47%	485	0.7
Academic Advising																
					1								1			
Q24) My program provided good academic advising.	2010	15%	13%	14%	17%	21%	21%	475	1%	1%	3%	9%	21%	66%	387	1.7
academic advising.	2011	13%	10%	13%	18%	21%	24%	396	0%	0%	2%	9%	23%	65%	337	1.5
	2012	12%	10%	14%	20%	20%	25%	434	0%	1%	4%	7%	20%	67%	377	1.5
	2013	10%	8%	15%	22%	21%	24%	510	1%	1%	3%	8%	27%	60%	490	1.3
25) My program provided accurate	2010	4%	7%	13%	16%	26%	34%	479	0%	0%	2%	8%	25%	64%	384	0.9
information about program	2011	4%	5%	11%	19%	24%	36%	398	0%	0%	3%	8%	26%	63%	335	0.9
requirements.	2012	5%	7%	7%	17%	27%	39%	442	0%	1%	2%	8%	24%	65%	375	0.8
	2013	4%	5%	8%	17%	23%	43%	527	1%	0%	3%	9%	26%	62%	508	0.7
26) My program regularly assessed my	2010	10%	10%	14%	18%	24%	24%	465	2%	1%	8%	20%	26%	43%	377	0.8
academic performance.	2011	10%	7%	13%	23%	24%	24%	382	2%	2%	9%	20%	28%	43%	323	0.8
	2011															
		8%	7%	9%	22%	27%	26%	429	2%	2%	7%	17%	30%	42%	441	0.7
	2013	5%	6%	11%	23%	27%	28%	516	2%	2%	9%	19%	28%	41%	497	0.5
007)	2010	7%	6%	11%	17%	26%	33%	479	1%	1%	4%	9%	26%	59%	391	0.9
Q27) I knew who to contact for			00/	8%	16%	23%	38%	401	1%	1%	5%	9%	23%	61%	341	0.9
Q27) I knew who to contact for questions about programs and services.	2011	7%	8%					1								
questions about programs and	2011 2012	7% 6%	8% 7%	8%	15%	24%	41%	441	0%	0%	3%	11%	20%	66%	377	0.8
questions about programs and					15% 16%	24% 23%	41% 44%	441 529	0% 0%	0% 1%	3% 4%	11% 10%	20% 27%	66% 58%	377 510	0.8 0.6
questions about programs and services. Q28) My advisor was available when	2012	6%	7%	8%												
questions about programs and services.	2012 2013	6% 4%	7% 6%	8% 8%	16%	23%	44%	529	0%	1%	4%	10%	27%	58%	510	0.6
questions about programs and services. Q28) My advisor was available when	2012 2013 2010	6% 4% 10%	7% 6% 8%	8% 8% 11%	16% 15%	23% 22%	44% 35%	529 467	0% 0%	1% 1%	4% 2%	10% 9%	27% 25%	58% 63%	510 383	0.6 1.2

Q29) The frequeny of interactions with my advisor was adequate.	2010	15%	11%	9%	17%	17%	30%	469	1%	0%	2%	16%	27%	54%	380	1.3
iny advisor was adequate.	2011	13%	5%	12%	17%	18%	34%	391	1%	1%	3%	12%	28%	56%	334	1.1
	2012	12%	8%	10%	15%	23%	32%	428	0%	1%	4%	12%	24%	59%	367	1.1
	2013	11%	8%	10%	16%	22%	34%	507	1%	1%	4%	12%	25%	58%	489	1.0
Q30) My advisor was knowledgeable	2010	5%	6%	8%	13%	24%	45%	460	1%	0%	2%	9%	23%	66%	380	0.7
about program requirements.	2011	7%	4%	8%	10%	21%	50%	388	0%	1%	1%	8%	21%	69%	338	0.8
	2012	5%	6%	6%	12%	23%	48%	429	1%	0%	2%	6%	24%	67%	368	0.6
	2013	7%	5%	6%	10%	21%	52%	506	1%	1%	2%	7%	24%	66%	490	0.6
Q31) My program/advisor kept me	2010	20%	14%	11%	17%	16%	22%	455	2%	3%	6%	16%	25%	48%	374	1.4
informed about my academic	2011	18%	10%	10%	19%	19%	23%	382	1%	2%	8%	17%	27%	45%	332	1.2
progress.	2012	16%	12%	11%	17%	19%	26%	423	3%	2%	7%	15%	22%	51%	366	1.2
	2013	14%	12%	14%	17%	17%	26%	496	3%	3%	10%	14%	23%	47%	485	1.0
Q32) My advisor supported me in	2010	12%	9%	9%	14%	17%	40%	456	1%	0%	2%	12%	23%	61%	374	1.1
completing my program in a timely	2011	12%	6%	7%	14%	16%	44%	387	0%	1%	3%	13%	19%	63%	335	0.9
manner.	2012	10%	7%	8%	15%	18%	42%	419	1%	2%	4%	10%	22%	62%	362	0.9
	2013	8%	7%	9%	11%	19%	45%	498	1%	1%	4%	9%	24%	61%	487	0.8
Q33) My advisor supported me in	2010	16%	11%	8%	13%	17%	35%	453	1%	1%	2%	9%	24%	64%	371	1.4
pursuing my life or career goals.	2010	14%	9%	9%	15%	17%	37%	380	0%	2%	2 <i>%</i>	10%	24%	64%	331	1.4
	2011	14%	9% 9%	9% 10%	15%	17%	37%	411	0% 1%	2% 2%	4% 5%	10% 7%	20%	64% 65%	351	1.2
	2012	14%		10%	12%	17%	38%	411	2%	2% 3%	5% 4%			60%	481	1.2
	2015	12%	10%	10%	15%	10%	36%	492	270	370	470	11%	21%	60%	401	1.0
Learning Environment																
25) My program provided an offective	2010	201	20/	4.00/	220/	200/	220/	100	00/	00/	4.0/	1.00/	270/	6201	200	0.0
35) My program provided an effective learning environment for its students.		2%	3%	10%	22%	30%	33%	480	0%	0%	1%	10%	27%	62%	390	0.8
	2011	0%	0%	2%	7%	22%	70%	333	4%	4%	8%	16%	28%	41%	400	0.9
	2012	3%	4%	8%	19%	35%	32%	442	0%	0%	1%	8%	23%	68%	374	0.9
	2013	1%	4%	9%	21%	38%	27%	523	0%	0%	3%	9%	30%	57%	502	0.7
36) My program was an intellectually	2010	3%	4%	7%	16%	26%	44%	480	0%	0%	0%	6%	21%	73%	390	0.8
stimulating place.	2011	4%	4%	8%	16%	28%	41%	400	0%	0%	1%	3%	17%	79%	334	0.9
	2012	3%	3%	9%	15%	25%	45%	441	0%	0%	1%	5%	17%	77%	374	0.8
	2013	2%	5%	8%	15%	26%	45%	525	0%	0%	2%	5%	21%	71%	504	0.7
37) Faculty were scholarly and	2010	1%	3%	5%	16%	30%	45%	479	0%	0%	0%	4%	19%	77%	390	0.6
professionally competent.	2011	2%	4%	6%	13%	34%	41%	395	0%	0%	1%	3%	19%	77%	331	0.7
	2012	1%	3%	7%	11%	30%	48%	441	0%	0%	1%	3%	19%	77%	375	0.6
	2013	1%	3%	7%	14%	31%	44%	526	0%	0%	1%	5%	19%	75%	506	0.6
38) Faculty were usually available	2010	3%	3%	7%	19%	29%	39%	469	1%	1%	4%	11%	26%	57%	385	0.5
after class and/or during office hours.	2011	3%	2%	10%	19%	34%	32%	389	0%	1%	2%	13%	28%	57%	329	0.6
_	2012								0%						364	0.4
	2012	2% 1%	3% 3%	6% 6%	16% 14%	31% 36%	43% 39%	424 507	0%	1% 0%	3% 4%	13% 12%	25% 30%	58% 54%	490	0.4
20) Communication between faculty		6%														0.4
39) Communication between faculty and students in my program was good.	2010 2011	5%	5% 4%	10% 11%	18% 18%	28% 31%	33% 31%	479 396	0% 0%	0% 1%	2% 2%	8% 9%	27% 26%	62% 62%	391 333	0.9
	2011	4%	4%	11%	20%	26%	36%	436	0%	1%	1%	12%	20%	63%	371	0.9
	2012	3%	5%	7%	19%	32%	35%	521	1%	0%	2%	8%	31%	58%	500	0.7
40) Faculty respected student	2010	3%	4%	11%	18%	30%	33%	476	0%	0%	2%	10%	29%	58%	391	0.7
opinions or ideas that differed from	2011	3%	5%	8%	16%	36%	32%	394	0%	0%	2%	8%	29%	61%	330	0.8
their own.	2012	3%	3%	8%	17%	29%	40%	440	0%	1%	2%	9%	25%	64%	373	0.6
	2013	4%	3%	6%	18%	30%	39%	523	1%	1%	1%	8%	29%	60%	504	0.6
41) Faculty cared about students as	2010	4%	6%	10%	18%	30%	32%	476	1%	1%	2%	12%	27%	58%	393	0.8
individuals.	2011	5%	5%	12%	17%	28%	34%	394	0%	1%	3%	9%	27%	60%	330	0.8
	2012	4%	3%	10%	16%	26%	42%	439	0%	1%	2%	8%	23%	66%	372	0.7
	2013	3%	4%	10%	17%	28%	38%	522	0%	1%	2%	9%	27%	60%	504	0.6
42) Faculty treated all students fairly.	2010	3%	4%	8%	16%	32%	38%	477	0%	0%	1%	8%	26%	65%	393	0.8
	2011	4%	5%	7%	15%	34%	36%	389	0%	0%	2%	8%	22%	68%	330	0.7
	2012	2%	4%	6%	17%	28%	43%	430	0%	1%	2%	8%	20%	70%	372	0.6
12)14	2013	3%	3%	5%	16%	30%	42%	516	1%	0%	1%	8%	24%	66%	499	0.6
43) My program was responsive to	2010	8%	8%	13%	18%	27%	27%	440	0%	1%	1%	14%	28%	56%	376	1.1
student feedback.	2011	6%	8%	13%	19%	30%	23%	356	0%	0%	2%	11%	29%	57%	315	1.1
	2012	8%	8%	11%	20%	22%	32%	397	0%	1%	3%	9%	24%	64%	353	1.1
1	2013	5%	7%	12%	20%	26%	29%	484	0%	1%	2%	10%	32%	54%	484	0.9

(4) There was a sense of community in	2010	8%	0.0/	110/	169/	220/	34%	475	10/	10/	4.0/	1.09/	29%	55%	390	0.9
44) There was a sense of community in my program.	2010	8%	8% 8%	11% 10%	16% 21%	23% 22%	34% 32%	395	1% 1%	1% 2%	4% 4%	10% 11%	29% 29%	53%	333	0.9
	2011	11%	6%	10%	15%	22%	32 <i>%</i>	437	1%	2 % 1%	4 %	11%	29%	61%	373	1.1
	2012	6%	7%	10%	19%	22%	34%	519	1%	2%	4 <i>%</i>	11%	27%	53%	498	0.7
45) Fellow students demonstrated	2013	4%	3%	7%	13%	31%	36%	477	2%	1%	2%	12%	30%	56%	393	0.5
high academic abilities.	2010	2%	5%	7%	21%	34%	31%	395	1%	1%	4%	12%	30%	52%	330	0.6
	2011	3%	4%	9%	18%	29%	38%	440	1%	2%	3%	11%	24%	60%	372	0.5
	2012	3%	4%	10%	22%	27%	34%	517	0%	1%	3%	11%	31%	54%	496	0.6
46) Faculty reflected a diversity of	2010	4%	6%	9%	19%	27%	35%	474	1%	1%	2%	12%	28%	56%	390	0.7
backgrounds and experiences.	2010	4%	5%	9%	25%	29%	28%	390	0%	1%	6%	12%	25%	55%	329	0.7
	2012	4%	5%	7%	21%	23%	40%	436	1%	2%	4%	10%	26%	58%	370	0.5
	2012	4%	5%	9%	20%	28%	34%	523	1%	2%	4%	13%	29%	52%	502	0.6
47) Students reflected a diversity of	2010	1%	3%	6%	14%	30%	45%	476	1%	2%	3%	12%	27%	56%	392	0.3
backgrounds and experiences.	2010	1%	5%	6%	17%	32%	38%	397	1%	1%	5%	15%	26%	53%	331	0.3
	2011	3%	3%	8%	15%	26%	47%	442	1%	2%	4%	12%	25%	57%	375	0.3
	2012	2%	4%	8%	17%	26%	43%	524	1%	1%	4%	11%	28%	55%	505	0.4
48) My program was free of	2010	2%	3%	4%	9%	25%	56%	448	1%	0%	1%	5%	18%	75%	385	0.4
discrimination.	2010	3%														
	2011		2%	4%	13%	27%	50%	368	0%	0%	2%	5%	17%	76%	321	0.6
		2%	3%	6%	9%	24%	55%	421	0%	1%	2%	6%	17%	75%	365	0.4
	2013	3%	4%	4%	10%	25%	55%	502	0%	0%	3%	5%	19%	72%	490	0.4
Resources																
50) The College/program had	2010	2%	4%	10%	21%	31%	33%	480	0%	0%	3%	10%	24%	63%	391	0.8
adequate resources to support	2011	4%	4%	9%	21%	30%	32%	383	0%	0%	3%	8%	24%	64%	318	0.8
learning.	2012	2%	4%	8%	25%	28%	33%	420	0%	0%	2%	9%	26%	63%	354	0.8
	2013	1%	3%	9%	19%	33%	35%	500	0%	0%	3%	8%	32%	57%	478	0.6
51) Program staff was caring and	2010	2%	5%	11%	19%	28%	36%	476	0%	0%	3%	9%	32%	56%	392	0.7
helpful.	2011	2%	4%	11%	20%	28%	35%	392	0%	1%	3%	11%	29%	56%	321	0.6
	2012	2%	3%	9%	17%	28%	41%	424	0%	1%	2%	9%	27%	61%	352	0.6
	2012	3%	4%	7%	13%	34%	39%	515	0%	1%	3%	8%	29%	58%	488	0.5
		1%	3%								2%			58%		
52) Gottesman Libraries resouces and services were adequate.	2010			8%	20%	33%	34%	463	1%	0%		11%	29%		383	0.6
services were adequate.	2011	2%	5%	8%	18%	32%	35%	381	1%	1%	4%	10%	26%	58%	313	0.5
	2012	2%	4%	8%	21%	29%	36%	414	1%	0%	4%	14%	27%	55%	348	0.5
	2013	1%	5%	7%	18%	33%	36%	497	0%	1%	2%	4%	39%	54%	474	0.5
53) Classroom facilities were	2010	7%	9%	16%	21%	27%	20%	481	1%	1%	3%	17%	33%	45%	392	1.1
adequate.	2011	10%	9%	16%	23%	25%	18%	397	0%	1%	4%	15%	36%	44%	324	1.2
	2012	5%	11%	17%	25%	23%	19%	433	1%	1%	4%	16%	32%	46%	359	1.1
	2013	4%	5%	12%	26%	30%	23%	516	0%	2%	5%	15%	34%	44%	493	0.7
54) Specialized facilities (labs,	2010	3%	7%	12%	24%	31%	24%	380	0%	1%	4%	13%	34%	48%	322	0.8
studios, etc.) and equipment were	2011	4%	10%	12%	24%	29%	21%	298	1%	1%	5%	16%	30%	47%	260	0.8
adequate.	2012	3%	8%	13%	23%	28%	26%	336	1%	1%	4%	16%	29%	49%	291	0.8
	2013	3%	5%	11%	25%	28%	29%	357	1%	2%	3%	15%	33%	48%	354	0.6
55) Information technology and media	2010	3%	5%	10%	23%	36%	24%	459	1%	1%	4%	16%	34%	45%	380	0.6
resources were adequate.	2011	2%	8%	13%	25%	29%	23%	368	1%	1%	5%	19%	32%	43%	308	0.7
	2011	2%	7%	10%	25%	34%	22%	398	1%	1%	3%	18%	31%	46%	342	0.6
	2013	2%	4%	11%	28%	29%	26%	479	1%	2%	5%	18%	31%	44%	459	0.5
Q56) Adequate financial aid was	2010	25%	12%	12%	13%	19%	20%	377	2%	0%	1%	5%	16%	76%	330	2.1
available for most students.	2011	24%	13%	13%	16%	15%	18%	323	0%	1%	2%	8%	17%	72%	281	2.2
	2012	21%	13%	13%	18%	14%	20%	327	2%	0%	2%	6%	14%	76%	287	2.1
	2013	24%	13%	11%	17%	15%	20%	408	3%	2%	2%	7%	20%	68%	406	2.0

Student Support Services									
As a student, how helpful did you find the									
following student support services?	Year		1 ( Not Helpful	) <	;	Mean	n		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	1	
58a) Office of the Registrar	2010	10%	11%	15%	22%	21%	21%	4.0	467
	2011	7%	10%	12%	24%	27%	20%	4.1	376
	2012	8%	7%	12%	25%	24%	25%	4.2	412
	2013	5%	7%	11%	24%	28%	25%	4.4	469
58b) Financial Aid Office	2010	10%	8%	16%	20%	21%	24%	4.0	353
	2011	7%	9%	15%	20%	27%	22%	4.2	306
	2012	9%	8%	8%	23%	24%	28%	4.3	311
	2013	6%	7%	13%	22%	25%	27%	4.3	366
58c) Student Accounts	2010	4%	4%	14%	27%	27%	24%	4.4	411
	2011	2%	6%	16%	20%	34%	22%	4.4	325
	2012	4%	5%	12%	28%	26%	25%	4.4	344
	2013	2%	4%	12%	24%	32%	27%	4.6	383
58d) Career Services	2010	7%	7%	14%	22%	22%	29%	4.3	310
	2011	10%	6%	12%	23%	24%	24%	4.2	249
	2012	8%	9%	14%	21%	22%	26%	4.2	280
	2013	6%	11%	13%	20%	27%	24%	4.2	287
Q58e) Office of Teacher Education(OTESS).	2010	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
	2011	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
	2012	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
	2013	4%	6%	13%	18%	24%	34%	4.6	164

Overall Satisfaction		1			(5)				
	Year		-		(Percentage)	1	-	Mean	n
		1	2	3	4	5	6		
60) Overall, how did your program meet your		1 (Much	worse than I e	(pected) <	> 6 (M	uch better tha	an l expected)		
expectations?	2010	5%	8%	12%	24%	29%	21%	4.3	482
	2011	7%	9%	13%	22%	30%	20%	4.2	403
	2012	7%	9%	13%	21%	32%	19%	4.2	412
	2013	5%	7%	11%	23%	37%	18%	4.4	524
61) How much do you feel you learned in your		1 (Not mu	ich) <				> 6 (A lot)		
program?	2010	3%	3%	8%	20%	23%	44%	4.9	472
	2011	3%	4%	7%	17%	29%	41%	4.9	398
	2012	2%	5%	9%	18%	23%	44%	4.3	311
	2013	1%	3%	7%	17%	28%	44%	5.0	524
62) Overall, how satisfied are you with your		1 (Very o	lissatisfied)<-			> 6 ( V	very satisfied )		
experience?	2010	4%	6%	11%	21%	30%	30%	4.6	476
	2011	5%	6%	11%	20%	29%	29%	4.5	398
	2012	5%	7%	11%	20%	27%	29%	4.4	344
	2013	4%	4%	6%	24%	33%	29%	4.7	523
63) Tuition paid was a worthwhile		1 (Strong	y disagree ) <			> 6 ( Si	trongly agree)		
investment.	2010	9%	11%	15%	23%	22%	20%	4.0	465
	2011	11%	14%	14%	23%	20%	17%	3.8	382
	2012	10%	14%	15%	25%	22%	15%	4.2	280
	2013	9%	10%	13%	23%	26%	18%	4.0	500
64) If you could start over, would you attend TC?		1 (Definit	telynot) <		> 6 (1				
	2010	6%	8%	13%	17%	16%	40%	4.5	455
	2011	7%	10%	11%	15%	20%	38%	4.4	384
	2012	9%	10%	12%	15%	19%	36%	4.2	412
	2013	8%	7%	9%	15%	25%	36%	4.5	494
65) If you could start over, would you choose	2010	9%	11%	11%	17%	14%	38%	4.3	459
your program at TC?	2011	9%	6%	11%	13%	20%	41%	4.5	389
	2012	10%	10%	10%	14%	19%	38%	4.3	311
	2013	8%	7%	9%	12%	22%	43%	4.6	490
66) Would you recommend your program at	2010	7%	9%	12%	18%	18%	35%	4.4	462
TC to others?	2011	8%	11%	12%	14%	18%	38%	4.3	392
	2012	11%	9%	11%	17%	18%	34%	4.4	344
	2013	7%	8%	10%	17%	22%	36%	4.5	499