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|  | Agreement Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Importance Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Impt- <br> Agree) Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\nwarrow}{\varpi} \\ & \sum \sum \end{aligned}$ | $=$ | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Gamma}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\perp}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | n |  |
|  | Strongly Disagree (1) <---------------->> Strongly Agree (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not Important (1) |  |  |  |  |  | $\rightarrow$ Very Important (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Quality of instruction in most classes was excellent. | 11 | 24 | 54 | 125 | 139 | 139 | 2\% | 5\% | 11\% | 25\% | 28\% | 28\% | 4.6 | 492 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 63 | 329 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 16\% | 81\% | 5.8 | 405 | 1.2 |
| 2) Instructors used effective teaching strategies. | 6 | 29 | 67 | 150 | 137 | 100 | 1\% | 6\% | 14\% | 31\% | 28\% | 20\% | 4.4 | 489 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 102 | 261 | 25\% | 25\% | 0\% | 10\% | 25\% | 65\% | 5.5 | 404 | 1.1 |
| 3) Instructors considered student differences as they taught a course. | 10 | 35 | 78 | 127 | 122 | 112 | 2\% | 7\% | 16\% | 26\% | 25\% | 23\% | 4.3 | 484 | 4 | 11 | 31 | 58 | 115 | 177 | 1\% | 3\% | 8\% | 15\% | 29\% | 45\% | 5.0 | 396 | 0.7 |
| 4) Instructors used information technology and media in the classroom. | 10 | 27 | 89 | 122 | 120 | 121 | 2\% | 6\% | 18\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 4.4 | 489 | 18 | 23 | 69 | 78 | 107 | 108 | 4\% | 6\% | 17\% | 19\% | 27\% | 27\% | 4.4 | 403 | 0.0 |
| 5) Instructors provided timely feedback about student progress. | 9 | 36 | 69 | 111 | 150 | 115 | 2\% | 7\% | 14\% | 23\% | 31\% | 23\% | 4.4 | 490 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 63 | 123 | 199 | 25\% | 1\% | 3\% | 16\% | 30\% | 49\% | 5.2 | 404 | 0.8 |

 student performance.
7) I had adequate opportunities to develop research skills.
8) I had adequate opportunities for reflection and critical thinking.
9) I had adequate opportunities for teamwork and collaboration.
10) I had adequate opportunities to learn new media and technology.
11) I had adequate opportunities to develop skills to work with diverse children and/or adults.

| 6 | 12 | 51 | 120 | 157 | 137 | 1\% | 2\% | 11\% | 25\% | 33\% | 28\% | 4.7 | 483 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 53 | 129 | 203 | 25\% | 50\% | 3\% | 13\% | 32\% | 51\% | 5.3 | 401 | 0.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 42 | 61 | 97 | 125 | 120 | 7\% | 9\% | 13\% | 20\% | 26\% | 25\% | 4.2 | 480 | 9 | 16 | 25 | 73 | 93 | 180 | 2\% | 4\% | 6\% | 18\% | 23\% | 45\% | 4.9 | 396 | 0.7 |
| 10 | 13 | 31 | 74 | 126 | 233 | 2\% | 3\% | 6\% | 15\% | 26\% | 48\% | 5.0 | 487 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 49 | 96 | 235 | 50\% | 1\% | 4\% | 12\% | 24\% | 59\% | 5.3 | 401 | 0.3 |
| 8 | 13 | 25 | 69 | 146 | 225 | 2\% | $3 \%$ | 5\% | 14\% | 30\% | 46\% | 5.1 | 486 | 9 | 22 | 47 | 83 | 103 | 139 | 2\% | 5\% | 12\% | 21\% | 26\% | 34\% | 4.7 | 403 | -0.4 |
| 48 | 76 | 84 | 123 | 83 | 66 | 10\% | 16\% | 18\% | 26\% | 17\% | 14\% | 3.7 | 480 | 14 | 20 | 61 | 82 | 89 | 131 | 4\% | 5\% | 15\% | 21\% | 22\% | 33\% | 4.5 | 397 | 0.9 |
| 32 | 38 | 66 | 97 | 102 | 133 | 7\% | 8\% | 14\% | 21\% | 22\% | 28\% | 4.3 | 468 | 11 | 7 | 26 | 49 | 100 | 191 | 3\% | 2\% | 7\% | 13\% | 26\% | 50\% | 5.1 | 384 | 0.8 |


|  | Agreement Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Importance Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Impt- <br> Agree) <br> Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $=$ | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Gamma}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\perp}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | n |  |
|  | Strongly Disagree (1) |  |  |  |  |  | Strongly Agree (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not Important (1) |  |  |  |  |  | > Very Important (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Academic Advising |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) My program provided good academic advising. | 71 | 60 | 66 | 79 | 100 | 99 | 15\% | 13\% | 14\% | 17\% | 21\% | 21\% | 3.8 | 475 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 36 | 82 | 254 | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 9\% | 21\% | 66\% | 5.5 | 387 | 1.7 |
| 2) My program provided accurate information about program requirements. | 19 | 33 | 60 | 79 | 125 | 163 | 4\% | 7\% | 13\% | 16\% | 26\% | 34\% | 4.6 | 479 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 32 | 97 | 247 | 26\% | 26\% | 2\% | 8\% | 25\% | 64\% | 5.5 | 384 | 1.0 |
| 3) My program regularly assessed my academic performance. | 47 | 46 | 66 | 84 | 112 | 110 | 10\% | 10\% | 14\% | 18\% | 24\% | 24\% | 4.1 | 465 | 8 | 5 | 31 | 75 | 97 | 161 | 2\% | 1\% | 8\% | 20\% | 26\% | 43\% | 4.9 | 377 | 0.9 |
| 4) I knew who to contact for questions about programs and services. | 34 | 30 | 51 | 83 | 124 | 157 | 7\% | 6\% | 11\% | 17\% | 26\% | 33\% | 4.5 | 479 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 37 | 103 | 229 | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | 9\% | 26\% | 59\% | 5.4 | 391 | 0.9 |
| 5) My advisor was available when needed. | 46 | 39 | 51 | 68 | 101 | 162 | 10\% | 8\% | 11\% | 15\% | 22\% | 35\% | 4.3 | 467 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 33 | 97 | 242 | 26\% | 1\% | 2\% | 9\% | 25\% | 63\% | 5.5 | 383 | 1.1 |
| 6) The frequency of interactions with my advisor was adequate. | 70 | 53 | 44 | 80 | 79 | 143 | 15\% | 11\% | 9\% | 17\% | 17\% | 30\% | 4.0 | 469 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 59 | 103 | 206 | 1\% | 26\% | 2\% | 16\% | 27\% | 54\% | 5.3 | 380 | 1.3 |
| 7) My advisor was knowledgeable about program requirements. | 22 | 27 | 35 | 59 | 109 | 208 | 5\% | 6\% | 8\% | 13\% | 24\% | 45\% | 4.8 | 460 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 35 | 86 | 249 | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 9\% | 23\% | 66\% | 5.5 | 380 | 0.7 |
| 8) My program/advisor kept me informed about my academic progress. | 89 | 63 | 50 | 76 | 75 | 102 | 20\% | 14\% | 11\% | 17\% | 16\% | 22\% | 3.6 | 455 | 8 | 11 | 22 | 59 | 95 | 179 | 2\% | 3\% | 6\% | 16\% | 25\% | 48\% | 5.0 | 374 | 1.4 |
| 9) My advisor supported me in completing my program in a timely manner. | 55 | 39 | 39 | 64 | 77 | 182 | 12\% | 9\% | 9\% | 14\% | 17\% | 40\% | 4.3 | 456 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 46 | 86 | 230 | 1\% | 27\% | 2\% | 12\% | 23\% | 61\% | 5.4 | 374 | 1.1 |
| 10) My advisor supported me in pursuing my life or career goals. | 72 | 48 | 37 | 61 | 76 | 159 | 16\% | 11\% | 8\% | 13\% | 17\% | 35\% | 4.1 | 453 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 32 | 89 | 236 | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 9\% | 24\% | 64\% | 5.5 | 371 | 1.4 |


| Agreement Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Importance Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Impt- } \\ & \text { Agree) } \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\nwarrow}{\varpi} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\sum} \end{aligned}$ | ᄃ | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 冗̃ } \\ & \sum \sum \end{aligned}$ | n |  |
| Strongly Disagree (1) <--------------->> Strongly Agree (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not Important (1) <------------------->> Very Important (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |

## Learning Environment

1) My program provided an effective learning environment for its students.
2) My program was an intellectually stimulating place.
3) Faculty were scholarly and professionally competent.
4) Faculty were usually available after class and/or during office hours.
5) Communication between faculty and students in my program was good.
6) Faculty respected student opinions or ideas that differed from their own.
7) Faculty cared about students as individuals.
8) Faculty treated all students fairly.
9) My program was responsive to student feedback.
10) There was a sense of community in my

## program.

11) Fellow students demonstrated high academic abilities.
12) Faculty reflected a diversity of backgrounds
and experience.
13) Students reflected a diversity of backgrounds and experience.
14) My program was free of discrimination.

| 10 | 16 | 46 | 115 | 146 | 147 | 2\% | 3\% | 10\% | 24\% | 30\% | 31\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 18 | 32 | 78 | 127 | 210 | 3\% | 4\% | 7\% | 16\% | 26\% | 44\% |
| 6 | 16 | 22 | 76 | 142 | 217 | 1\% | 3\% | 5\% | 16\% | 30\% | 45\% |
| 12 | 15 | 35 | 89 | 137 | 181 | 3\% | 3\% | 7\% | 19\% | 29\% | 39\% |
| 27 | 26 | 50 | 85 | 134 | 157 | 6\% | 5\% | 10\% | 18\% | 28\% | 33\% |
| 14 | 20 | 52 | 88 | 145 | 157 | 3\% | 4\% | 11\% | 18\% | 30\% | 33\% |
| 21 | 27 | 46 | 87 | 145 | 150 | 4\% | 6\% | 10\% | 18\% | 30\% | 32\% |
| 15 | 18 | 37 | 75 | 151 | 181 | 3\% | 4\% | 8\% | 16\% | 32\% | 38\% |
| 33 | 36 | 56 | 81 | 117 | 117 | 8\% | 8\% | 13\% | 18\% | 27\% | 27\% |
| 40 | 37 | 52 | 76 | 110 | 160 | 8\% | 8\% | 11\% | 16\% | 23\% | 34\% |
| 19 | 16 | 35 | 86 | 149 | 172 | 4\% | 3\% | 7\% | 18\% | 31\% | 36\% |
| 18 | 29 | 43 | 88 | 130 | 166 | 4\% | 6\% | 9\% | 19\% | 27\% | 35\% |
| 7 | 14 | 30 | 68 | 142 | 215 | 1\% | 3\% | 6\% | 14\% | 30\% | 45\% |
| 9 | 15 | 20 | 42 | 110 | 252 | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% | 9\% | 25\% | 56\% |


| 4.7 | 480 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 38 | 107 | 241 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 10\% | 27\% | 62\% | 5.5 | 390 | 0.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.9 | 480 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 80 | 284 | 0\% | 0\% | 26\% | 6\% | 21\% | 73\% | 5.7 | 390 | 0.8 |
| 5.1 | 479 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 75 | 299 | 0\% | 26\% | 0\% | 4\% | 19\% | 77\% | 5.7 | 390 | 0.7 |
| 4.8 | 469 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 44 | 100 | 220 | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | 11\% | 26\% | 57\% | 5.3 | 385 | 0.5 |
| 4.6 | 479 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 33 | 107 | 241 | 0\% | 26\% | 2\% | 8\% | 27\% | 62\% | 5.5 | 391 | 0.9 |
| 4.7 | 476 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 41 | 114 | 228 | 26\% | 26\% | 2\% | 10\% | 29\% | 58\% | 5.4 | 391 | 0.7 |
| 4.6 | 476 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 48 | 107 | 226 | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 12\% | 27\% | 58\% | 5.4 | 393 | 0.8 |
| 4.8 | 477 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 102 | 257 | 25\% | 0\% | 1\% | 8\% | 26\% | 65\% | 5.6 | 393 | 0.7 |
| 4.3 | 440 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 52 | 107 | 209 | 27\% | 1\% | 1\% | 14\% | 28\% | 56\% | 5.4 | 376 | 1.1 |
| 4.4 | 475 | 5 | 2 | 15 | 38 | 115 | 215 | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | 10\% | 29\% | 55\% | 5.3 | 390 | 0.9 |
| 4.8 | 477 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 39 | 117 | 219 | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 10\% | 30\% | 56\% | 5.3 | 393 | 0.5 |
| 4.6 | 474 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 48 | 108 | 217 | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 12\% | 28\% | 56\% | 5.3 | 390 | 0.7 |
| 5.0 | 476 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 46 | 105 | 219 | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 12\% | 27\% | 56\% | 5.3 | 392 | 0.3 |
| 5.2 | 448 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 19 | 71 | 288 | 1\% | 26\% | 1\% | 5\% | 18\% | 75\% | 5.6 | 385 | 0.5 |

Resources

| 1) The College/program had adequate resources to support learning. | 9 | 18 | 46 | 100 | 147 | 160 | 2\% | 4\% | 10\% | 21\% | 31\% | 33\% | 4.7 | 480 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 39 | 95 | 247 | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 10\% | 24\% | 63\% | 5.5 | 391 | 0.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2) Program staff was caring and helpful. | 11 | 22 | 51 | 90 | 132 | 170 | 2\% | 5\% | 11\% | 19\% | 28\% | 36\% | 4.7 | 476 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 36 | 125 | 218 | 3\% | 0\% | 3\% | 6\% | 32\% | 56\% | 5.4 | 392 | 0.7 |
| 3) Gottesman Libraries resources and services were adequate. | 5 | 16 | 37 | 94 | 154 | 157 | 1\% | 3\% | 8\% | 20\% | 33\% | 34\% | 4.8 | 463 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 43 | 111 | 221 | 1\% | 0\% | 2\% | 11\% | 28\% | 58\% | 5.4 | 383 | 0.6 |
| 4) Classroom facilities were adequate. | 34 | 44 | 78 | 103 | 128 | 94 | 7\% | 9\% | 16\% | 21\% | 27\% | 20\% | 4.1 | 481 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 65 | 131 | 176 | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 17\% | 33\% | 45\% | 5.2 | 392 | 1.1 |
| 5) Specialized facilities (labs, studios, etc.) and equipment were adequate. | 11 | 27 | 45 | 90 | 116 | 91 | 3\% | 7\% | 12\% | 24\% | 31\% | 24\% | 4.4 | 380 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 43 | 108 | 153 | 31\% | 1\% | 4\% | 13\% | 34\% | 48\% | 5.2 | 322 | 0.8 |
| 6) Information technology and media resources were adequate. | 14 | 21 | 44 | 106 | 163 | 111 | 3\% | 5\% | 10\% | 23\% | 36\% | 24\% | 4.6 | 459 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 60 | 131 | 170 | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | 16\% | 34\% | 45\% | 5.2 | 380 | 0.6 |
| 7) Adequate financial aid was available for most students. | 93 | 45 | 45 | 49 | 71 | 74 | 24\% | 12\% | 12\% | 13\% | 19\% | 20\% | 3.5 | 377 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 54 | 251 | 2\% | 0\% | 1\% | 5\% | 16\% | 76\% | 5.6 | 330 | 2.1 |


| Student Support Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As a student, how helpful did you find the following student support services? | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | mean | n |
|  | Not helpful (1) <-----> Very helpful (6) |  |  |  |  |  | Not helpful (1) <-----> Very helpful (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| 1) Office of the Registrar | 46 | 50 | 70 | 105 | 96 | 100 | 10\% | 11\% | 15\% | 22\% | 21\% | 21\% | 4.0 | 467 |
| 2) Financial Aid Office | 37 | 29 | 58 | 70 | 74 | 85 | 10\% | 8\% | 16\% | 20\% | 21\% | 24\% | 4.0 | 353 |
| 3) Student Accounts | 17 | 16 | 59 | 109 | 112 | 98 | 4\% | 4\% | 14\% | 27\% | 27\% | 24\% | 4.4 | 411 |
| 4) Career Services | 21 | 23 | 43 | 67 | 67 | 89 | 7\% | 7\% | 14\% | 22\% | 22\% | 29\% | 4.3 | 310 |



[^0]
[^0]:    *'Overall Satisfaction' has five different response scales

