# Master's Exit Survey 

## Class of 2011

## Summary Report

|  | Agreement Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Importance Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Impt- <br> Agree) <br> Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Gamma}{\tilde{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | n | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Gamma}{\mathbb{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | n |  |
|  | Strongly Disagree (1) |  |  |  |  | <---------------------> Strongly Agree (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Not Important (1) |  |  |  |  |  | Very Important (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Academic Program and Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) My academic program was excellent. | 14 | 31 | 45 | 74 | 128 | 116 | 3\% | 8\% | 11\% | 18\% | 31\% | 28\% | 4.5 | 408 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 50 | 289 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 14\% | 82\% | 5.8 | 352 | 1.3 |
| 2) My program had a clear philosophy or focus. | 12 | 24 | 55 | 79 | 120 | 116 | 3\% | 6\% | 14\% | 19\% | 30\% | 29\% | 4.5 | 406 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 48 | 97 | 183 | 0\% | 1\% | 6\% | 14\% | 28\% | 52\% | 5.3 | 350 | 0.8 |
| 3) My program had clear requirement. | 9 | 13 | 23 | 85 | 118 | 159 | 2\% | 3\% | 6\% | 21\% | 29\% | 39\% | 4.9 | 407 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 53 | 92 | 192 | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 15\% | 26\% | 55\% | 5.3 | 351 | 0.4 |
| 4) My program provided a well-integrated set of courses. | 19 | 26 | 52 | 108 | 112 | 86 | 5\% | 6\% | 13\% | 27\% | 28\% | 21\% | 4.3 | 403 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 43 | 100 | 198 | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 12\% | 29\% | 57\% | 5.4 | 348 | 1.1 |
| 5) My program provided a good variety of courses | 17 | 28 | 76 | 113 | 90 | 78 | 4\% | 7\% | 19\% | 28\% | 22\% | 19\% | 4.2 | 402 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 44 | 99 | 198 | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 13\% | 28\% | 57\% | 5.4 | 349 | 1.2 |
| 6) I was able to register for courses I needed with few conflicts. | 16 | 22 | 32 | 63 | 104 | 165 | 4\% | 5\% | 8\% | 16\% | 26\% | 41\% | 4.8 | 402 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 37 | 100 | 199 | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 11\% | 29\% | 58\% | 5.4 | 345 | 0.6 |
| 7) I had flexibility to choose courses based on my life or career goals. | 27 | 31 | 73 | 106 | 84 | 74 | 7\% | 8\% | 18\% | 27\% | 21\% | 19\% | 4.0 | 395 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 57 | 85 | 179 | $1 \%$ | 0\% | 4\% | 17\% | 25\% | 53\% | 5.2 | 339 | 1.2 |
| 8) My program provided a solid theoretical foundation in my discipline. | 16 | 10 | 40 | 72 | 117 | 149 | 4\% | 2\% | 10\% | 18\% | 29\% | 37\% | 4.8 | 404 | 1 | 5 | 21 | 52 | 77 | 193 | 0\% | 1\% | 6\% | 15\% | 22\% | 55\% | 5.2 | 349 | 0.4 |
| 9) Course content was relevant to my life or career goals. | 13 | 18 | 47 | 73 | 124 | 129 | $3 \%$ | 4\% | 12\% | 18\% | 31\% | 32\% | 4.6 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 64 | 255 | $0 \%$ | 0\% | 2\% | 7\% | 18\% | 73\% | 5.6 | 350 | 1.0 |
| 10) Most courses were academically rigorous. | 19 | 27 | 47 | 79 | 110 | 122 | 5\% | 7\% | 12\% | 20\% | 27\% | 30\% | 4.5 | 404 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 50 | 102 | 178 | 0\% | 1\% | 5\% | 14\% | 29\% | 51\% | 5.2 | 351 | 0.7 |


|  | Agreement Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Importance Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Impt- <br> Agree) Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ᄃ } \\ & \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | n | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\nwarrow}{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \sum \sum \end{aligned}$ | n |  |
|  | Strongly Disagree (1) |  |  |  |  | <---------------------> |  |  | Strongly Agree (6) |  |  |  |  |  | Not Important (1) |  |  |  | <-------------------------->> Very Important (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Quality of instruction in most classes was excellent. | 14 | 24 | 39 | 92 | 131 | 103 | 3\% | 6\% | 10\% | 23\% | 33\% | 26\% | 4.5 | 403 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 46 | 283 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 13\% | 82\% | 5.8 | 344 | 1.3 |
| 2) Instructors used effective teaching strategies. | 12 | 23 | 49 | 102 | 132 | 85 | 3\% | 6\% | 12\% | 25\% | 33\% | 21\% | 4.4 | 403 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 76 | 241 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 6\% | 22\% | 70\% | 5.6 | 342 | 1.2 |
| 3) Instructors considered student differences as they taught a course. | 19 | 25 | 65 | 95 | 106 | 79 | 5\% | 6\% | 17\% | 24\% | 27\% | 20\% | 4.2 | 389 | 0 | 6 | 31 | 59 | 83 | 161 | 0\% | 2\% | 9\% | 17\% | 24\% | 47\% | 5.1 | 340 | 0.8 |
| 4) Instructors used information technology and media in the classroom. | 7 | 30 | 56 | 102 | 127 | 80 | 2\% | 7\% | 14\% | 25\% | 32\% | 20\% | 4.4 | 402 | 15 | 22 | 54 | 91 | 65 | 96 | 4\% | 6\% | 16\% | 27\% | 19\% | 28\% | 4.3 | 343 | 0.0 |
| 5) Instructors provided timely feedback about student progress. | 10 | 24 | 53 | 107 | 122 | 85 | 2\% | 6\% | 13\% | 27\% | 30\% | 21\% | 4.4 | 401 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 51 | 105 | 171 | 0\% | 1\% | 4\% | 15\% | 31\% | 50\% | 5.3 | 343 | 0.8 |


| 6) Instructors used appropriate methods to assess student performance. | 10 | 8 | 36 | 88 | 158 | 100 | 2\% | 2\% | 9\% | 22\% | 40\% | 25\% | 4.7 | 400 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 53 | 98 | 179 | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 16\% | 29\% | 52\% | 5.3 | 341 | 0.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7) I had adequate opportunities to develop research skills. | 25 | 34 | 68 | 74 | 105 | 89 | 6\% | 9\% | 17\% | 19\% | 27\% | 23\% | 4.2 | 395 | 13 | 10 | 27 | 61 | 81 | 145 | 4\% | 3\% | 8\% | 18\% | 24\% | 43\% | 4.8 | 337 | 0.7 |
| 8) I had adequate opportunities for reflection and critical thinking. | 5 | 13 | 27 | 55 | 107 | 193 | 1\% | 3\% | 7\% | 14\% | 27\% | 48\% | 5.1 | 400 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 37 | 97 | 194 | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 11\% | 28\% | 57\% | 5.4 | 343 | 0.3 |
| 9) I had adequate opportunities for tea mwork and collaboration. | 5 | 5 | 24 | 58 | 119 | 189 | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 14\% | 30\% | 47\% | 5.1 | 400 | 9 | 18 | 38 | 70 | 85 | 121 | 3\% | 5\% | 11\% | 21\% | 25\% | 35\% | 4.7 | 341 | -0.5 |
| 10) I had adequate opportunities to learn new media and technology. | 35 | 66 | 85 | 94 | 75 | 43 | 9\% | 17\% | 21\% | 24\% | 19\% | 11\% | 3.6 | 398 | 10 | 24 | 49 | 89 | 73 | 93 | 3\% | 7\% | 14\% | 26\% | 22\% | 28\% | 4.4 | 338 | 0.8 |
| 11) I had adequate opportunities to develop skills to work with diverse children and/or adults. | 26 | 29 | 43 | 88 | 97 | 91 | 7\% | 8\% | 11\% | 24\% | 26\% | 24\% | 4.3 | 374 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 40 | 76 | 164 | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 12\% | 24\% | 51\% | 5.0 | 322 | 0.8 |



|  | Agreement Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Importance Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Impt- } \\ & \text { Agree) } \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Gamma}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | n | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\nwarrow}{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \underset{\Sigma}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | n |  |
|  | Strongly Disagree (1) |  |  |  |  | <---------------------> |  |  | Strongly Agree (6) |  |  |  |  |  | Not Important (1) |  |  |  |  |  | Very Important (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Learning Environment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) My program provided an effective learning environment for its students. | 0 | 0 | 6 | 22 | 72 | 233 | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 7\% | 22\% | 70\% | 4.7 | 333 | 15 | 15 | 34 | 63 | 110 | 163 | 4\% | 4\% | 8\% | 16\% | 28\% | 41\% | 4.8 | 400 | 1.0 |
| 2) My program was an intellectually stimulating place. | 15 | 15 | 34 | 63 | 110 | 163 | 4\% | 4\% | 8\% | 16\% | 28\% | 41\% | 4.8 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 57 | 263 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\%" | 3\% | 17\% | 79\% | 5.7 | 334 | 0.9 |
| 3) Faculty were scholarly and professionally competent. | 6 | 17 | 22 | 53 | 134 | 163 | 2\% | 4\% | 6\% | 13\% | 34\% | 41\% | 5.0 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 62 | 256 | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 19\% | 77\% | 5.7 | 331 | 0.8 |
| 4) Faculty were usually available after class and/or during office hours. | 11 | 8 | 39 | 73 | 134 | 124 | 3\% | 2\% | 10\% | 19\% | 34\% | 32\% | 4.8 | 389 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 42 | 92 | 186 | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 13\% | 28\% | 57\% | 5.4 | 329 | 0.6 |
| 5) Communication between faculty and students in my program was good. | 20 | 16 | 43 | 70 | 123 | 124 | 5\% | 4\% | 11\% | 18\% | 31\% | 31\% | 4.6 | 396 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 30 | 86 | 208 | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 9\% | 26\% | 62\% | 5.5 | 333 | 0.9 |
| 6) Faculty respected student opinions or ideas that differed from their own. | 13 | 19 | 32 | 64 | 141 | 125 | 3\% | 5\% | 8\% | 16\% | 36\% | 32\% | 4.7 | 394 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 28 | 95 | 201 | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 8\% | 29\% | 61\% | 5.5 | 330 | 0.8 |
| 7) Faculty cared about students as individuals. | 18 | 21 | 46 | 66 | 110 | 133 | 5\% | 5\% | 12\% | 17\% | 28\% | 34\% | 4.6 | 394 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 29 | 89 | 199 | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 9\% | 27\% | 60\% | 5.4 | 330 | 0.8 |
| 8) Faculty treated all students fairly. | 15 | 19 | 27 | 57 | 131 | 140 | 4\%" | 5\% | 7\% | 15\% | 34\% | 36\% | 4.8 | 389 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 26 | 72 | 223 | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 8\% | 22\% | 68\% | 5.5 | 330 | 0.8 |
| 9) My program was responsive to student feedback. | 23 | 30 | 46 | 68 | 106 | 83 | 6\% | 8\% | 13\% | 19\% | 30\% | 23\% | 4.3 | 356 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 36 | 92 | 179 | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 11\% | 29\% | 57\% | 5.4 | 315 | 1.1 |
| 10) There was a sense of community in my program. | 32 | 30 | 41 | 81 | 86 | 125 | 8\% | 8\% | 10\% | 21\% | 22\% | 32\% | 4.4 | 395 | 4 | 5 | 14 | 38 | 95 | 177 | 1\% | 2\% | 4\% | 11\% | 29\% | 53\% | 5.2 | 333 | 0.9 |
| 11) Fellow students demonstrated high academic abilities. | 9 | 21 | 26 | 84 | 134 | 121 | 2\% | 5\% | 7\% | 21\% | 34\% | 31\% | 4.7 | 395 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 38 | 100 | 173 | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | 12\% | 30\% | 52\% | 5.3 | 330 | 0.5 |
| 12) Faculty reflected a diversity of backgrounds and experience. | 14 | 21 | 34 | 96 | 115 | 110 | 4\% | 5\% | 9\% | 25\% | 29\% | 28\% | 4.6 | 390 | 1 | 4 | 19 | 41 | 83 | 181 | 0\% | 1\% | 6\% | 12\% | 25\% | 55\% | 5.3 | 329 | 0.7 |
| 13) Students reflected a diversity of backgrounds and experience. | 5 | 19 | 25 | 69 | 128 | 151 | 1\% | 5\% | 6\% | 17\% | 32\% | 38\% | 4.9 | 397 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 48 | 85 | 177 | 1\% | 1\% | 5\% | 15\% | 26\% | 53\% | 5.2 | 331 | 0.4 |
| 14) My program was free of discrimination. | 12 | 8 | 15 | 47 | 101 | 185 | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 13\% | 27\% | 50\% | 5.1 | 368 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 53 | 244 | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 5\% | 17\% | 76\% | 5.7 | 321 | 0.6 |
| Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) The College/program had adequate resources to support learning. | 15 | 17 | 33 | 80 | 115 | 123 | 4\% | 4\% | 9\% | 21\% | 30\% | 32\% | 4.7 | 383 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 27 | 77 | 202 | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 8\% | 24\% | 64\% | 5.5 | 318 | 0.8 |
| 2) Program staff was caring and helpful. | 9 | 15 | 42 | 79 | 108 | 139 | 2\% | 4\% | 11\% | 20\% | 28\% | 35\% | 4.7 | 392 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 35 | 92 | 180 | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 11\% | 29\% | 56\% | 5.3 | 321 | 0.6 |
| 3) Gottesman Libraries resources and services were adequate. | 8 | 19 | 31 | 69 | 121 | 133 | 2\% | 5\% | 8\% | 18\% | 32\% | 35\% | 4.8 | 381 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 32 | 82 | 181 | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | 10\% | 26\% | 58\% | 5.3 | 313 | 0.6 |
| 4) Classroom facilities were adequate. | 38 | 34 | 62 | 90 | 100 | 73 | 10\% | 9\% | 16\% | 23\% | 25\% | 18\% | 4.0 | 397 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 47 | 117 | 143 | 0\% | 1\% | 4\% | 15\% | 36\% | 44\% | 5.2 | 324 | 1.2 |
| 5) Specialized facilities (labs, studios, etc.) and equipment were adequate. | 12 | 29 | 35 | 72 | 86 | 64 | 4\% | 10\% | 12\% | 24\% | 29\% | 21\% | 4.3 | 298 | 3 | 2 | 13 | 41 | 78 | 123 | 1\% | 1\% | 5\% | 16\% | 30\% | 47\% | 5.1 | 260 | 0.9 |
| 6) Information technology and media resources were adequate. | 9 | 28 | 48 | 91 | 108 | 84 | 2\% | 8\% | 13\% | 25\% | 29\% | 23\% | 4.4 | 368 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 57 | 99 | 131 | 1\% | 1\% | 5\% | 19\% | 32\% | 43\% | 5.1 | 308 | 0.7 |
| 7) Adequate financial aid was available for most students. | 78 | 42 | 42 | 53 | 49 | 59 | 24\% | 13\% | 13\% | 16\% | 15\% | 18\% | 3.4 | 323 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 22 | 47 | 202 | 0\% | 1\% | 2\% | 8\% | 17\% | 72\% | 5.6 | 281 | 2.2 |


| Student Support Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As a student, how helpful did you find the following student support services? | Frequency |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  | mean | n |
|  | Not helpful (1) <-----> Very helpful (6) |  |  |  |  |  | Not helpful (1) <-----> Very helpful (6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| 1) Office of the Registrar | 46 | 50 | 70 | 105 | 96 | 100 | 10\% | 11\% | 15\% | 22\% | 21\% | 21\% | 4.0 | 467 |
| 2) Financial Aid Office | 37 | 29 | 58 | 70 | 74 | 85 | 10\% | 8\% | 16\% | 20\% | 21\% | 24\% | 4.0 | 353 |
| 3) Student Accounts | 17 | 16 | 59 | 109 | 112 | 98 | 4\% | 4\% | 14\% | 27\% | 27\% | 24\% | 4.4 | 411 |
| 4) Career Services | 21 | 23 | 43 | 67 | 67 | 89 | 7\% | 7\% | 14\% | 22\% | 22\% | 29\% | 4.3 | 310 |



* 'Overall Satisfaction' has five different response scales.

