Doctoral Exit Survey

Class of 2012

Summary Report

Office of Accreditation and Assessment Teachers College Columbia University

Doctorate Exit Survey, Class 2012

Statement Year	17% 79% 14% 82% 12% 82% 32% 55% 34% 52% 25% 62%	5.7 5.8 5.7 5.3	n 109 71 61	Mean (Impt - Agree)
Academic Programs and Courses 1) My academic program was excellent. 2010	17% 79% 14% 82% 12% 82% 32% 55% 34% 52%	5.7 5.8 5.7	109	Agree)
1) My academic program was excellent. 2010	14% 82% 12% 82% 32% 55% 34% 52%	5.8	71	
was excellent. 2011 4% 5% 10% 22% 29% 30% 4.6 77 0% 0% 0% 4% 2012 0% 9% 13% 18% 24% 36% 4.6 67 0% 0% 2% 5% 2) My program had a clear philosophy or focus. 2010 4% 3% 13% 15% 29% 36% 4.7 123 1% 3% 3% 7% 2011 3% 5% 17% 16% 32% 27% 4.5 77 0% 1% 3% 10% 3) My program had clear requirements. 2010 2% 5% 10% 13% 27% 44% 4.9 124 1% 0% 4% 9% 2011 3% 1% 7% 21% 30% 38% 4.9 76 0% 1% 1% 8% 2012 2% 8% 6% 13% 28% 43% 4.9 67 0% 1% 1% 8% 4) My program provided a well-integrated set of c	14% 82% 12% 82% 32% 55% 34% 52%	5.8	71	
2011 4% 5% 10% 22% 29% 30% 4.6 77 0% 0% 0% 4% 2012 0% 9% 13% 18% 24% 36% 4.6 67 0% 0% 2% 5% 21 My program had a clear philosophy or focus. 2010 4% 3% 13% 15% 29% 36% 4.7 123 1% 3% 3% 7% 2011 3% 5% 17% 16% 32% 27% 4.5 77 0% 1% 3% 10% 2012 2% 10% 8% 22% 21% 37% 4.6 67 0% 0% 3% 10% 3} My program had clear requirements. 2011 3% 1% 7% 21% 30% 38% 4.9 124 1% 0% 4% 9% requirements. 2011 3% 1% 7% 21% 30% 38% 4.9 76 0% 1% 1% 8% 2012 2% 8% 6% 13% 28% 43% 4.9 67 0% 0% 3% 13% 4) My program provided a well-integrated set of courses. 2011 5% 8% 14% 25% 33% 14% 4.2 76 0% 1% 3% 8% 2012 3% 5% 18% 18% 30% 26% 4.5 66 0% 0% 2% 17% 5) My program provided a good variety of courses. 2011 1% 7% 17% 32% 20% 23% 4.3 75 0% 0% 1% 7% 2012 2% 10% 22% 22% 26% 19% 4.2 65 0% 0% 3% 17%	12% 82% 32% 55% 34% 52%	5.7		1.2
2) My program had a clear philosophy or focus. 2010	32% 55% 34% 52%		61	
philosophy or focus. 2011 3% 5% 17% 16% 32% 27% 4.5 77 0% 1% 3% 10%	34% 52%	5.3		1.10
2011 3% 5% 17% 16% 32% 27% 4.5 77 0% 1% 3% 10% 2012 2% 10% 8% 22% 21% 37% 4.6 67 0% 0% 3% 10% 3) My program had clear requirements. 2010 2% 5% 10% 13% 27% 44% 4.9 124 1% 0% 4% 9% 2011 3% 1% 7% 21% 30% 38% 4.9 76 0% 1% 1% 8% 2012 2% 8% 6% 13% 28% 43% 4.9 67 0% 0% 3% 13% 4) My program provided a well-integrated set of courses. 2011 5% 8% 14% 25% 33% 14% 4.2 76 0% 1% 3% 8% 2012 3% 5% 18% 18% 30% 26% 4.5 66 0% 0% 2% 17% 5) My program provided a good variety of courses. 2011 1% 7% 17% 32% 20% 23% 4.3 75 0% 0% 1% 7% 2002 2% 10% 22% 22% 26% 19% 4.2 65 0% 0% 3% 17%			108	0.6
3) My program had clear requirements. 2010	25% 62%	5.3	71	0.8
requirements. 2011 3% 1% 7% 21% 30% 38% 4.9 76 0% 1% 1% 8% 2012 2% 8% 6% 13% 28% 43% 4.9 67 0% 0% 3% 13% 4) My program provided a well-integrated set of courses. 2011 5% 8% 14% 25% 33% 14% 4.2 76 0% 1% 3% 8% 2012 3% 5% 18% 18% 30% 26% 4.5 66 0% 0% 2% 17% 5) My program provided a good variety of courses. 2011 1% 7% 17% 32% 20% 23% 4.3 75 0% 0% 1% 7% 2012 2% 10% 22% 22% 26% 19% 4.2 65 0% 0% 3% 17%		5.5	61	0.83
2011 3% 1% 7% 21% 30% 38% 4.9 76 0% 1% 1% 8% 2012 2% 8% 6% 13% 28% 43% 4.9 67 0% 0% 3% 13% 4) My program provided a well-integrated set of courses. 2011 5% 8% 14% 25% 33% 14% 4.2 76 0% 1% 3% 8% 2012 3% 5% 18% 18% 30% 26% 4.5 66 0% 0% 2% 17% 5) My program provided a good variety of courses. 2011 1% 7% 17% 32% 20% 23% 4.3 75 0% 0% 1% 7% 2012 2% 10% 22% 22% 26% 19% 4.2 65 0% 0% 3% 17%	20% 66%	5.5	108	0.6
4) My program provided a well-integrated set of courses. 2010 5% 5% 11% 32% 24% 23% 4.4 124 1% 0% 5% 11% well-integrated set of courses. 2011 5% 8% 14% 25% 33% 14% 4.2 76 0% 1% 3% 8% 2012 3% 5% 18% 18% 30% 26% 4.5 66 0% 0% 2% 17% 5) My program provided a good variety of courses. 2010 3% 5% 12% 23% 33% 24% 4.5 123 0% 0% 1% 7% 2012 2% 10% 22% 22% 26% 19% 4.2 65 0% 0% 3% 17%	32% 56%	5.4	71	0.5
well-integrated set of courses. 2011 5% 8% 14% 25% 33% 14% 4.2 76 0% 1% 3% 8% 2012 3% 5% 18% 18% 30% 26% 4.5 66 0% 0% 2% 17% 5) My program provided a good variety of courses. 2010 3% 5% 12% 23% 33% 24% 4.5 123 0% 0% 1% 7% 2011 1% 7% 17% 32% 20% 23% 4.3 75 0% 0% 1% 7% 2012 2% 10% 22% 22% 26% 19% 4.2 65 0% 0% 3% 17%	23% 60%	5.4	61	0.51
2012 3% 5% 18% 18% 30% 26% 4.5 66 0% 0% 2% 17% 5) My program provided a good variety of courses. 2011 1% 7% 17% 32% 20% 23% 4.3 75 0% 0% 1% 7% 2012 2% 10% 22% 22% 26% 19% 4.2 65 0% 0% 3% 17%	30% 54%	5.3	108	0.9
5) My program provided a good variety of courses. 2011 1% 7% 17% 32% 20% 23% 4.3 75 0% 0% 1% 7% 2012 2% 10% 22% 22% 26% 19% 4.2 65 0% 0% 3% 17%	28% 59%	5.4	71	1.2
good variety of courses. 2011 1% 7% 17% 32% 20% 23% 4.3 75 0% 0% 1% 7% 2012 2% 10% 22% 22% 26% 19% 4.2 65 0% 0% 3% 17%	30% 52%	5.3	60	0.87
2011	34% 59%	5.5	106	1.0
	27% 65%	5.5	71	1.2
6) I was able to register for 2010 1% 3% 4% 12% 26% 1% 5.2 122 0% 2% 3% 5%	29% 51%	5.3	59	1.12
courses I needed with few	31% 60%	5.4	107	0.2
conflicts. 2011 1% 5% 8% 12% 30% 43% 4.9 76 0% 0% 1% 11%	27% 61%	5.5	71	0.6
2012 2% 2% 6% 15% 17% 1% 5.2 66 3% 0% 9% 12%	24% 53%	5.1	59	-0.11
7) I had flexibility to choose courses based on my life or 8% 8% 16% 28% 38% 4.7 120 2% 2% 5% 6%	23% 63%	5.3	105	0.7
career goals. 2011 7% 1% 5% 19% 34% 34% 4.7 74 1% 0% 3% 9%	22% 64%	5.4	67	0.7
2012 6% 3% 8% 17% 30% 36% 4.7 66 2% 5% 5% 10%	32% 47%	5.0	60	0.30
8) My program provided a 2010 3% 3% 9% 20% 21% 45% 4.9 122 0% 0% 5% 9% solid theoretical foundation	22% 65%	5.5	107	0.6
in my discipline. 2011 3% 5% 7% 14% 42% 29% 4.8 76 0% 0% 0% 7%	32% 61%	5.5	71	0.8
2012 2% 2% 14% 20% 28% 35% 4.8 65 0% 0% 2% 9%	22% 67%	5.6	58	0.78
9) Course content was 2010 1% 5% 5% 20% 37% 33% 4.9 123 0% 1% 1% 6% relevant to my life or career	31% 62%	5.5	108	0.7
goals 2011 3% 5% 4% 24% 38% 26% 4.7 76 0% 0% 0% 7%	32% 61%	5.5	71	0.8
2012 0% 6% 8% 17% 32% 38% 4.9 65 2% 9% 0% 10%	28% 60%	5.4	60	0.55
10)Most courses were 2010 2% 2% 11% 13% 34% 38% 4.9 0 1% 2% 10% 32% academically rigorous	32% 56%	5.4	108	0.5
2011 7% 3% 9% 21% 27% 33% 4.6 75 0% 0% 1% 7%	32% 59%	5.5	71	0.9
2012 2% 8% 2% 27% 35% 27% 4.7 66 0% 0% 2% 2%		1	1	1

				Agr	eement	(Percent	age)					Impo	rtance (F	Percenta	ge)			Gap
Statement	Year	(1) Stro	ngly Disa	gree	(6) Strongl	y Agree	Agree Mean	n	(1) Not	Importa	nt	(6) Very Im	portant	Impt Me an	n	Mean (Impt
		1	2	3	4	5	6			1	2	3	4	5	6			Agree
1) Quality of instruction in most classes was excellent.	2010	3%	3% 1%	8% 15%	24% 19%	33%	30% 25%	4.7	120 67	0%	1%	0% 2%	4% 10%	17% 12%	79% 76%	5.7	103 59	1.0
	2012	0%	6%	10%	19%	33%	32%	4.8	63	0%	0%	2%	19%	19%	79%	5.8	53	0.47
2) I had adequate training/opportunities to	2010	2%	6%	8%	20%	25%	39%	4.8	123	0%	1%	0%	13%	28%	58%	5.4	107	0.7
develop skills in oral	2011	3%	4%	10%	26%	23%	34%	4.7	73	0%	1%	3%	18%	25%	53%	5.2	68	0.5
presentation.	2012	0%	6%	6%	16%	39%	33%	4.9	64	2%	0%	5%	11%	21%	61%	5.3	57	0.47
3) I had adequate training/opportunities to	2010	34%	21%	21%	14%	4%	5%	2.5	112	4%	3%	10%	16%	21%	45%	4.8	98	2.3
develop skills in writing proposals for funding.	2011	30%	25%	23%	12%	7%	3%	2.5	69	2%	3%	5%	19%	33%	38%	4.9	63	2.4
	2012	40%	17%	23%	9%	6%	5%	2.4	65	2%	2%	14%	21%	21%	41%	4.8	58	2.43
4) I had adequate training/opportunities to	2010	20%	17%	17%	22%	11%	14%	3.3	121	1%	0%	6%	8%	27%	59%	5.4	104	2.1
develop skills in preparing articles for publication.	2011	20%	18%	17%	12%	12%	11%	3.1	74	0%	0%	3%	8%	23%	67%	5.5	66	2.4
	2012	22%	14%	20%	25%	14%	6%	3.1	65	0%	0%	4%	14%	16%	67%	5.5	57	2.32
5) I had adequate training/opportunities to	2010	1%	7%	11%	20%	24%	37%	4.7	123	3%	9%	6%	19%	27%	37%	4.7	106	0.0
develop skills in working in collaborative groups.	2011	4%	3%	11%	25%	21%	37%	4.7	73	3%	4%	7%	22%	31%	32%	4.7	68	0.0
6) I had adequate	2012	0% 4%	3% 5%	14%	19%	34%	31%	4.8	65 123	5%	5% 1%	16%	22% 7%	24%	28% 69%	5.6	108	-0.37
training/opportunities to develop skills in conducting	2010	7%	4%	11%	14%	23%	40%	4.8	74	0%	0%	1%	6%	16%	76%	5.7	68	1.0
independent research/ scholarship.	2012	0%	3%	12%	9%	29%	46%	5.0	62	0%	0%	2%	5%	21%	72%	5.6	57	0.60
7) I had adequate	2010	14%	18%	16%	23%	14%	15%	3.5	118	5%	7%	14%	20%	19%	35%	4.5	103	1.0
training/opportunities to develop skills in project	2011	16%	13%	24%	17%	16%	14%	3.5	70	0%	3%	5%	27%	25%	40%	4.9	63	1.4
management.	2012	8%	18%	21%	29%	18%	7%	3.5	62	4%	4%	19%	25%	25%	23%	4.3	52	0.83
8) I had adequate	2010	5%	7%	18%	17%	23%	30%	4.4	123	1%	1%	8%	14%	22%	54%	5.2	107	0.8
training/opportunities to develop skills in research/	2011	4%	5%	22%	15%	27%	26%	4.3	73	0%	0%	3%	15%	26%	55%	5.3	65	1.0
professional ethics.	2012	3%	9%	14%	16%	25%	33%	4.5	64	2%	4%	7%	13%	23%	52%	5.1	56	0.59
9) I had adequate training/opportunities to	2010	8%	12%	14%	22%	18%	28%	4.1	120	3%	2%	7%	17%	18%	53%	5.0	104	0.9
develop skills in teaching/ pedagogy.	2011	12%	11%	14%	19%	19%	25%	4.0	73	1%	3%	3%	16%	22%	54%	5.2	68	1.2
pedagogy.	2012	10%	6%	21%	13%	21%	30%	4.2	63	2%	0%	6%	19%	22%	52%	5.2	54	0.96
10) I had adequate training/opportunities to	2010	10%	16%	17%	25%	17%	14%	3.6	116	8%	4%	10%	15%	26%	38%	4.6	102	1.0
develop skills in supervision or evaluation.	2011	11%	14%	23%	24%	14%	13%	3.5	70	2%	0%	9%	28%	28%	34%	4.8	65	1.3
	2012	10%	15%	23%	18%	21%	15%	3.7	62	2%	4%	15%	23%	26%	30%	4.6	53	0.89
11) I had adequate training/opportunities to	2010	10%	15%	25%	25%	13%	12%	3.5	118	5%	7%	15%	25%	20%	28%	4.3	103	0.8
develop skills in information technology and media.	2011	12%	14%	25%	22%	18%	8%	3.4	72	5%	3%	9%	23%	27%	33%	4.7	66	1.3
	2012	8%	15%	29%	19%	15%	15%	3.6	62	4%	7%	13%	22%	26%	29%	4.5	55	0.84

Dissertation Advisement																		
				Agr	eement	(Percent	age)					Impo	rtance (F	Percenta	ge)			Gap Mean
Statement	Year	(1) Stror	ngly Disa 2	gree	(6) Strongl	y Agree 6	Agre e Mean	n	(1) Not	Importar 2	nt3	(6) Very Im 5	portant 6	Impt Mean	n	(Impt - Agree)
1) My program supported	2010	4%	5%	14%	14%	21%	42%	4.7	118	0%	0%	0%	2%	12%	86%	5.8	100	1.1
me in the dissertation process.	2011	10%	5%	11%	7%	23%	44%	4.6	73	0%	0%	0%	1%	12%	87%	5.9	69	1.3
	2012	8%	6%	5%	18%	11%	53%	4.8	66	0%	0%	0%	5%	8%	68%	5.8	60	1.05
My program provided accurate information about	2010	7%	2%	14%	13%	28%	37%	4.6	119	0%	0%	0%	6%	16%	78%	5.7	100	1.1
program requirements.	2011	4%	4%	11%	14%	25%	42%	4.8	73	0%	1%	0%	3%	19%	76%	5.7	68	0.9
	2012	5%	5%	3%	18%	20%	50%	4.9	66	0%	0%	0%	13%	18%	68%	5.6	60	0.70
3) My program regularly assessed my academic	2010	7%	9%	19%	15%	20%	31%	4.3	116	1%	2%	5%	12%	23%	57%	5.2	99	1.0
performance.	2011	7%	8%	8%	23%	18%	35%	4.4	71	1%	3%	3%	16%	24%	52%	5.1	67	0.7
	2012	12%	6%	6%	20%	28%	28%	4.3	65	2%	0%	9%	10%	26%	53%	5.2	58	0.90
My dissertation advisor was knowledgeable about	2010	3%	3%	3%	13%	21%	56%	5.1	118	0%	2%	1%	2%	16%	79%	5.7	100	0.6
formal degree requirements.	2011	5%	3%	8%	8%	23%	52%	5.0	73	0%	0%	0%	7%	14%	78%	5.7	69	0.7
requirements.	2012	3%	6%	2%	11%	15%	63%	5.2	65	0%	0%	7%	3%	15%	75%	5.6	59	0.40
5) My dissertation advisor was available for	2010	6%	3%	6%	11%	15%	59%	5.0	118	0%	0%	1%	2%	11%	86%	5.8	100	0.8
consultation when needed.	2011	5%	3%	8%	11%	21%	52%	4.9	73	0%	0%	0%	1%	7%	91%	5.9	69	1.0
	2012	3%	8%	2%	11%	21%	56%	5.1	66	0%	0%	0%	2%	12%	86%	5.8	58	0.70
6) My dissertation advisor encouraged or supported	2010	4%	1%	1%	8%	16%	70%	5.4	118	0%	0%	0%	1%	15%	84%	5.8	100	0.4
my research idea(s).	2011	3%	3%	4%	10%	12%	68%	5.3	73	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	88%	5.9	69	0.6
	2012	3%	3%	2%	6%	14%	73%	5.4	66	0%	0%	0%	8%	16%	83%	5.8	59	0.40
7) My dissertation advisor gave me constructive	2010	3%	3%	3%	12%	14%	65%	5.3	118	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	90%	5.9	102	0.6
feedback on my work.	2011	7%	4%	3%	5%	16%	64%	5.1	73	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	93%	5.9	68	0.8
	2012	3%	5%	6%	11%	11%	65%	5.2	66	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	92%	5.9	59	0.70
8) My dissertation advisor returned my work promptly.	2010	7%	5%	5%	9%	15%	59%	5.0	118	0%	0%	0%	3%	13%	84%	5.8	100	0.8
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2011	7%	6%	8%	11%	12%	56%	4.8	72	0%	0%	1%	0%	10%	88%	5.9	69	1.1
	2012	6%	2%	8%	11%	21%	53%	5.0	66	0%	0%	0%	2%	19%	80%	5.8	59	0.80
9) My dissertation advisor kept me informed about my	2010	6%	8%	5%	21%	15%	46%	4.7	117	0%	1%	4%	11%	17%	67%	5.5	101	0.8
academic progress.	2011	7%	3%	8%	10%	24%	48%	4.8	71	0%	1%	1%	3%	22%	72%	5.6	68	0.8
	2012	6%	8%	5%	8%	25%	49%	4.9	65	0%	0%	4%	2%	21%	74%	5.7	57	0.80
10) My dissertation advisor assisted me in search for	2010	22%	11%	11%	18%	12%	26%	3.6	90	8%	6%	7%	13%	15%	51%	4.7	86	1.1
employment.	2011	19%	15%	15%	12%	8%	31%	3.7	59	3%	2%	2%	9%	17%	67%	5.4	58	1.7
	2012	23%	11%	14%	14%	4%	35%	3.7	57	6%	2%	6%	6%	20%	59%	5.1	49	1.40

Learning Environment				Agr	eement	(Percent	age)					oaml	rtance (F	Percenta	ge)			Gap
Statement	Year	(1) Stro	ngly Disa			5) Strong	<u> </u>	e =		(1) Not	Importar		•		- 	±		Mean
		1	2	3	4	5	6	Agree Mean	n	1	2	3	4	5	6	Impt Me an	n	(Impt - Agree)
My program provided an effective learning	2010	0%	7%	11%	19%	31%	32%	4.7	116	0%	0%	2%	6%	22%	69%	5.6	98	0.9
environment for its students.	2011	1%	5%	10%	26%	27%	30%	4.6	73	0%	0%	0%	3%	25%	72%	5.7	69	1.1
students.	2012	0%	6%	5%	23%	31%	34%	4.8	64	0%	0%	0%	8%	28%	62%	5.5	59	0.71
2) My program was an intellectually stimulating	2010	0%	3%	7%	19%	30%	42%	5.0	117	0%	0%	2%	2%	17%	78%	5.7	99	0.7
place.	2011	3%	6%	11%	22%	18%	40%	4.7	72	0%	0%	1%	4%	12%	83%	5.8	69	1.1
	2012	2%	5%	5%	12%	35%	42%	5.0	66	0%	0%	0%	2%	25%	72%	5.7	59	0.69
3) Faculty were scholarly and professionally	2010	0%	2%	7%	12%	33%	46%	5.2	117	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	81%	5.8	100	0.7
competent.	2011	0%	3%	7%	16%	30%	44%	5.1	73	0%	0%	0%	3%	9%	88%	5.9	69	0.8
	2012	0%	5%	5%	8%	26%	57%	5.3	65	0%	0%	0%	2%	17%	81%	5.8	58	0.53
4) Faculty were usually available after class and/or	2010	2%	0%	9%	13%	28%	49%	5.1	118	0%	0%	2%	7%	26%	65%	5.5	100	0.4
during office hours.	2011	3%	0%	11%	19%	25%	42%	4.9	73	0%	1%	1%	3%	32%	62%	5.5	69	0.6
5) Communication hatman	2012	2%	3%	6%	15%	30%	44%	5.0	66	0%	0%	0%	11%	25%	62%	5.5	59	0.49
5) Communication between faculty and students in my	2010	3%	6%	13% 14%	13%	29%	36%	4.7	119 73	0%	0%	2% 3%	5%	29%	64%	5.6	100	0.9
program was good.	2011	4%	3%		14%	30%	36%						3%	32%	62%	5.5		0.8
C) Faculty was a said at old and	2012	2% 0%	5%	14% 7%	9%	32%	39%	4.8	119	0%	0%	2%	7% 5%	28%	62%	5.5	59	0.70
6) Faculty respected student opinions or ideas that differed from their own.	2010	1%	9% 3%	8%	15%	32%	40%	4.9	72	0%	0%	1%	3%	26%	67%	5.6	69	0.7
amerea from their own.	2011	2%	5%	1%	14%	31%	48%	5.1	65	0%	0%	2%	7%	22%	68%	5.6	57	0.47
7) Faculty cared about	2012	3%	7%	8%	10%	29%	45%	4.9	119	0%	0%	3%	7%	20%	69%	5.6	101	0.7
students as individuals.	2011	1%	3%	12%	15%	22%	46%	4.9	72	0%	1%	1%	3%	21%	74%	5.6	68	0.7
	2012	1%	6%	6%	15%	21%	49%	5.0	65	0%	2%	2%	10%	21%	64%	5.5	57	0.49
8) Faculty treated all	2010	3%	7%	5%	16%	23%	46%	4.9	117	0%	0%	1%	4%	24%	70%	5.6	101	0.8
students fairly.	2011	3%	6%	9%	15%	26%	41%	4.8	68	0%	0%	2%	2%	18%	78%	5.7	65	0.9
	2012	3%	6%	8%	11%	33%	38%	4.8	65	0%	0%	3%	3%	28%	64%	5.5	59	0.71
9) My program was	2010	6%	6%	9%	23%	25%	30%	4.5	102	0%	0%	3%	8%	29%	58%	5.4	91	1.0
responsive to student feedback.	2011	5%	8%	16%	13%	22%	37%	4.5	63	0%	0%	5%	5%	26%	65%	5.5	62	1.0
	2012	3%	8%	12%	19%	25%	31%	4.5	63	0%	0%	3%	9%	31%	55%	5.4	54	0.89
10) There was a sense of community in my program.	2010	7%	11%	14%	20%	18%	28%	4.2	118	2%	3%	3%	10%	33%	48%	5.1	98	0.9
community in my program.	2011	8%	13%	15%	20%	17%	27%	4.0	71	0%	1%	6%	15%	24%	54%	5.2	68	1.2
	2012	8%	6%	10%	23%	25%	26%	4.3	64	0%	2%	5%	7%	25%	60%	5.4	58	1.07
11) Fellow students demonstrated high	2010	1%	3%	4%	19%	33%	40%	5.0	115	0%	2%	1%	12%	35%	49%	5.3	98	0.3
academic abilities.	2011	3%	10%	10%	17%	29%	31%	4.5	70	0%	1%	0%	9%	22%	68%	5.5	68	1.0
	2012	2%	3%	5%	26%	32%	32%	4.8	65	0%	2%	2%	8%	27%	60%	5.4	58	0.61

12) Faculty reflected a diversity of backgrounds and	2010	2%	7%	13%	13%	27%	38%	4.7	118	2%	1%	3%	9%	30%	54%	5.3	99	0.6
experiences.	2011	1%	1%	13%	25%	25%	34%	4.7	71	0%	1%	0%	12%	25%	61%	5.4	67	0.7
	2012	5%	5%	92%	25%	23%	33%	4.6	65	0%	4%	2%	7%	26%	61%	5.4	57	0.82
13) Students reflected a diversity of backgrounds and	2010	1%	3%	8%	9%	30%	48%	5.1	114	3%	2%	3%	8%	27%	56%	5.2	98	0.1
experiences.	2011	0%	3%	5%	14%	33%	45%	5.1	73	0%	3%	0%	13%	31%	53%	5.3	68	0.2
	2012	3%	2%	6%	22%	31%	35%	4.8	64	0%	0%	3%	13%	22%	60%	5.4	58	0.56
14) My program was free of discrimination.	2010	3%	3%	10%	5%	17%	62%	5.2	110	0%	0%	0%	3%	20%	76%	5.7	97	0.5
	2011	3%	2%	8%	6%	24%	58%	5.2	66	0%	2%	0%	3%	15%	80%	5.7	65	0.5
	2012	3%	5%	5%	10%	19%	57%	5.1	61	0%	0%	2%	6%	11%	81%	5.7	54	0.62

				Agr	eement	(Percent	age)					Impo	rtance (F	Percenta	ge)			Gap
Statement	Year	(1) Stro	ngly Disa	agree	(6	5) Strong	ly Agree	an		(1) Not	mportar	nt	(6) Very Im	portant	a t		Mea
		1	2	3	4	5	6	Agree Mean	n	1	2	3	4	5	6	Impt Me an	n	Agree
1) The program/TC had adequate resources for	2010	5%	6%	9%	22%	20%	38%	4.6	120	0%	0%	3%	5%	20%	72%	5.6	104	1.0
research or scholarship.	2011	3%	7%	16%	17%	20%	36%	4.5	69	0%	0%	0%	3%	24%	73%	5.7	66	1.2
	2012	8%	6%	8%	31%	19%	29%	4.3	65	0%	0%	2%	6%	13%	80%	5.7	55	1.37
2) Program staff was caring and helpful.	2010	1%	1%	7%	19%	20%	53%	5.1	122	1%	1%	0%	11%	26%	61%	5.4	106	0.3
	2011	3%	10%	6%	23%	25%	34%	4.6	71	0%	1%	0%	12%	21%	66%	5.5	67	0.9
	2012	3%	5%	6%	15%	26%	45%	4.9	65	0%	0%	2%	7%	27%	64%	5.5	56	0.63
3) Gottesman Libraries resouces and services were	2010	0%	3%	6%	18%	26%	48%	5.1	122	1%	0%	0%	7%	22%	71%	5.6	105	0.5
adequate.	2011	0%	6%	6%	16%	29%	44%	5.0	70	0%	2%	0%	8%	21%	70%	5.6	66	0.6
	2012	2%	3%	5%	16%	36%	39%	5.0	64	0%	0%	0%	4%	36%	61%	5.6	56	0.59
4) Classroom facilities were adequate.	2010	2%	8%	11%	27%	27%	25%	4.4	123	1%	0%	4%	19%	33%	43%	5.1	105	0.7
	2011	0%	19%	14%	28%	22%	17%	4.0	69	0%	0%	8%	12%	25%	55%	5.3	64	1.3
	2012	6%	12%	11%	23%	23%	25%	4.2	65	0%	0%	2%	18%	32%	48%	5.3	56	1.09
5) Specialized facilities (labs, studios, etc.) and	2010	2%	2%	11%	30%	25%	31%	4.7	101	1%	2%	4%	20%	29%	44%	5.0	84	0.4
equipment were adequate.	2011	2%	3%	10%	34%	29%	21%	4.5	58	0%	0%	9%	16%	22%	53%	5.2	58	0.7
C) Information to the older.	2012	2%	9%	4%	39%	23%	23%	4.4	56	0%	0%	6%	14%	28%	53%	5.3	51	0.84
5) Information technology and media resources were	2010	1%	4%	9%	25%	24%	37%	4.8	115	1%	1%	1%	19%	26%	52%	5.2	96	0.5
adequate.	2011	2%	9% 5%	12%	25%	25% 35%	29%	4.5	69 60	0%	2% 0%	3% 6%	12% 9%	30%	56%	5.4	66 54	0.9
7) Adequate financial aid	2012	37%	10%	15%	10%	13%	14%	2.9	99	0%	0%	4%	6%	12%	79%	5.4	85	2.7
was available for most doctoral students.	2010	38%	10%	16%	10%	7%	17%	2.9	58	0%	0%	0%	4%	14%	82%	5.8	57	2.7
accioi ai stuuciits.	2011	44%	3%	13%	18%	10%	12%	2.8	30	070	0%	2%	4%	6%	88%	5.8	50	3.00

					Stude	nt Supp	ort Serv	vices							
As a student, how	Year			Frequ	iency					Perce	ntage				
helpful did you find the			1 (Not Hel	pful) <					-> 6 (Ve	ry Help	ful)		Mean	n
following student support services?		1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6		
a) Office of the	2010	3	12	18	25	24	39	3%	10%	15%	21%	20%	32%	4.4	121
Registrar	2011	3	7	8	20	18	14	4%	10%	11%	29%	26%	20%	4.2	70
	2012	4	3	14	15	16	13	6%	5%	22%	23%	25%	20%	4.2	65
b) Financial Aid Office	2010	6	6	15	20	18	27	7%	7%	16%	22%	20%	29%	4.3	92
	2011	6	6	5	13	12	15	11%	11%	9%	23%	21%	26%	4.1	57
	2012	8	4	8	14	13	8	15%	7%	15%	26%	24%	15%	3.8	55
c) Student Accounts	2010	4	5	24	22	24	35	4%	4%	21%	19%	21%	31%	4.4	114
	2011	2	5	11	14	18	17	3%	7%	16%	21%	27%	25%	4.4	67
	2012	2	4	9	19	18	10	3%	7%	11%	31%	29%	16%	4.2	62
d) Career Services	2010	7	8	9	13	13	10	12%	13%	15%	22%	22%	17%	3.8	60
	2011	3	6	7	6	3	4	10%	21%	24%	21%	10%	14%	3.4	29
	2012	5	7	3	4	5	6	17%	23%	10%	13%	17%	20%	3.5	30
e) Office of Doctoral	2010	1	7	9	16	19	70	1%	6%	7%	13%	16%	57%	5.1	122
Studies	2011	5	2	6	10	10	39	7%	3%	8%	14%	54%	5%	4.9	72
	2012	3	4	6	11	15	27	5%	6%	9%	17%	23%	41%	1.0	66

					Ov	erall Sa	tisfactio	on							
				Frequ	iency					Perce	ntage				
	Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	Mean	n
- \ 0		1 (Much	worse	than I e	xpecte	d) <		> 6 (Much b	etter th	an I exp	ected)			
a) Overall, how did your program meet your	2010	6	5	15	28	41	24	5%	4%	13%	24%	34%	20%	4.4	119
expectations?	2011	3	3	8	18	24	16	4%	4%	11%	25%	33%	22%	4.5	72
	2012	2	6	5	14	22	17	3%	9%	8%	21%	33%	26%	4.5	66
b) How much do you		1 (Not	much)	<							> 6	(A lot)			
feel you learned in your	2010	2	1	9	23	24	62	2%	1%	7%	19%	20%	51%	5.1	121
program?	2011	2	2	3	9	23	33	3%	3%	4%	12%	32%	46%	5.1	72
F - 8	2012	1	1	3	6	21	34	2%	2%	5%	9%	32%	52%	5.2	66
		1 (Very	dissati	sfied)	<					> 6 (V	ery sat	isfied)			
c) Overall, how	2010	4	11	12	20	35	37	3%	9%	10%	17%	29%	31%	4.5	119
satisfied are you with your experience?	2011	3	2	7	14	25	21	4%	3%	10%	19%	35%	29%	4.7	72
your experience:	2012	2	3	6	11	22	22	3%	5%	9%	17%	33%	33%	4.7	66
		1 (Stror	ngly disa	gree)<	·					> 6 (S	trongly	agree)			
d) Tuition paid was a	2010	9	9	24	27	22	26	8%	8%	21%	23%	19%	22%	4.0	117
worthwhile investment.	2011	6	7	11	16	15	14	9%	10%	16%	23%	22%	20%	4.0	69
investment.	2012	3	7	10	14	16	13	5%	11%	16%	22%	25%	21%	4.1	63
		1 (Def	initely n	ot) <					;	> 6 (D	efinitel	y yes)			
e) If you could start	2010	11	9	8	21	19	48	9%	8%	7%	18%	16%	41%	4.5	116
over, would you attend	2011	7	2	13	11	9	26	10%	3%	19%	16%	13%	38%	4.3	68
TC?	2012	5	5	6	9	9	25	9%	9%	10%	16%	16%	41%	4.5	58
f) If you could start	2010	14	8	9	14	19	54	12%	7%	8%	12%	16%	46%	4.5	118
over, would you choose	2011	7	4	9	8	14	27	10%	6%	13%	12%	20%	39%	4.4	69
your program at TC?	2012	3	5	3	11	13	24	5%	9%	5%	19%	22%	41%	4.7	59
g) Would you	2010	11	8	9	18	23	48	9%	7%	8%	15%	20%	41%	4.5	117
recommend your	2011	9	6	9	9	15	23	13%	8%	13%	13%	21%	32%	4.2	71
program at TC to others?	2012	6	4	8	12	11	24	9%	6%	12%	19%	17%	37%	4.4	65